# A REPORT TO THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND THE SENATE AND HOUSE COMMITTEES ON INSURANCE AND COMMERCE OF

THE ARKANSAS GENERAL ASSEMBLY (AS REQUIRED BY ACT 1007 OF 2003)

## ANNUAL STUDY OF MEDICAL MALPRACTICE INSURANCE MARKET IN ARKANSAS



**Prepared by:** William R. Lacy, Property & Casualty Division Manager

**Arkansas Insurance Department** 

**Approved by:** Jay Bradford, State Insurance Commissioner

Date Submitted: August 15, 2011

### REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE ON ACT 1007 OF 2003 ANNUAL STUDY OF MEDICAL MALPRACTICE INSURANCE MARKET IN ARKANSAS

#### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Act 1007 of 2003 requires the following:

- (a) The Insurance Commissioner shall conduct an annual study of malpractice insurance rates in Arkansas and report the findings to the Legislative Council and the chairs of both the House and Senate Interim Committees on Insurance and Commerce.
- (b) The study shall include:
  - (1) Any findings regarding any changes in medical malpractice rates;
  - (2) Any other finding that is relevant to malpractice insurance rates; and
  - (3) Any recommendations in respect to any law relating to medical malpractice insurance.

Arkansas has a "competitive rating law" for the medical malpractice line, Ark. Code Ann. §§ 23-67-501 *et seq.*, which is cumulative to any applicable provisions found in §§ 23-67-201 *et seq.*, §§ 23-67-509. Rates are approved or disapproved within sixty (60) days after the date of filing, Ark. Code Ann. § 23-67-506(d). The Commissioner is required to use standards for rates promulgated in Ark. Code Ann. § 23-67-502 in determining whether to approve or disapprove a filing. Ark. Code Ann. § 23-67-502 requires that rates shall not be excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory; however, the Commissioner may approve an excessive rate if failure to approve the rate may tend to substantially lessen competition in the Arkansas malpractice insurance market, Ark. Code Ann. § 23-67-506(e).

There are two common misconceptions about the role of the Legislature and Insurance Department regarding insurance rates. The first misconception is that either entity has the ability to control market exits of companies. There is no statutory authority to compel an insurer to provide medical malpractice insurance coverage; furthermore, any law requiring an insurer to do business in Arkansas would be disruptive to the entire marketplace, spilling over into other lines of insurance.

The second misconception concerns the Department's oversight of rates. Medical malpractice rates must be filed at least sixty (60) days prior to the proposed effective date for use in the state. The Department has broad authority to review how the rate is distributed among insureds according to factors that might predict future losses. We cannot, however, disapprove an overall rate <u>unless</u> it is actuarially "excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory."

#### **Definitions**

- "<u>Excessive:</u>" A rate becomes excessive when the loss ratio (losses, including adjustment expenses and operating expenses, divided by premium paid) drops to a point which results in the insurance company earning an excessive amount of profit.
- "Inadequate:" A rate is inadequate if it will lead to immediate solvency problems or has

the potential for long-term solvency implications in that it may not provide sufficient funds to pay future claims, as well as the cost of adjusting those claims and operating the business.

• "<u>Unfairly Discriminatory:</u>" All insurance discriminates among various risks. There is "fair," i.e., "legal" discrimination and "unfair," i.e., illegal discrimination. "Unfair" discrimination basically means not treating similar risks the same in rates and coverages.

Overall base rates for an insurer are determined by the application of actuarial expertise to the standards set forth in the applicable state law. To this amount is added an expected amount for adjusting claims, distribution or sales expenses, administration, taxes and fees, and defense costs.

An individual insured's rates are normally established by applying discounts and credits or surcharges/debits to a base rate. Under our law those discounts, credits or surcharges/debits must be such that they "...measure differences among risks that can be demonstrated to have a probable effect upon losses or expenses."<sup>2</sup>

(a) Due consideration must be given to past and prospective loss and expense experience within and outside this state, to catastrophe hazards and contingencies, to events or trends within and outside this state, to loadings for leveling rates over a period of time, to dividends or savings to be allowed or returned by insurers to their policyholders, members, or subscribers, and to all other relevant factors. All submissions for rate changes or supplementary rate changes must include this information with Arkansas' experience shown, as well as companywide experience for the past five (5) years for the class of business which this filing affects. The determination of the weighting of credibility assigned to Arkansas must be fully explained. If, within a particular class, the data is not sufficiently credible for Arkansas or companywide, and common classes are grouped together for rate-making purposes, all class codes utilized in developing credibility shall be shown as an exhibit in the filing, with Arkansas' experience for each class affected shown separately. If significant trends within the state are utilized, a narrative describing the basis of the trend must be included.

(b) Risks may be classified in any reasonable way for the establishment of rates, except that no risks may be grouped by classifications based in whole or in part on race, color, creed, or national origin of the risk.

(c) The expense provisions included in the rates to be used by any insurer shall reflect the operating methods of the insurer and its actual and anticipated expense experience.

(d) The rates may contain provisions for contingencies and an allowance permitting a reasonable profit. In determining the reasonableness of the profit, consideration must be given to all investment income attributable to premiums and to the reserves associated with those premiums and to loss reserve funds.

#### 23-67-503. Rating criteria.

(a) A malpractice insurer shall consider past and prospective loss experience solely within this state.

(b)(1) If insufficient experience exists within this state upon which a rate can be based, the malpractice insurer may consider experience within any other state or states that have similar claim costs and frequency.

(2) If sufficient experience from any other state is not available, the malpractice insurer may use nationwide experience.

(c) In its rate filing and records, the malpractice insurer shall provide detailed information on the data supporting the experience it is using.

(d) When experience outside this state is considered, as much weight as possible shall be given to state experience.

#### <sup>2</sup> 23-67-210. Rating plans.

(a) Rates may be modified to produce premiums for individual risks in accordance with filed rating plans which establish standards for measuring variations in hazards or expense provisions. Those standards may measure differences among risks that can be demonstrated to have a probable effect upon losses or expenses. The modification shall apply to all risks under the same or substantially the same circumstances or conditions.

#### 23-67-506. Review of filings.

... (e) Notwithstanding subsection (d) of this section, the commissioner may approve an excessive rate if he or she finds that the failure to approve the rate may tend to substantially lessen competition in the Arkansas malpractice insurance market.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 23-67-209. Rating criteria.

Typical characteristics used to measure those differences may include:

- Medical specialty involved, including multiple practice characteristics
- Claims defense and history of paid claims and amount of payment
- Exposures number of patients
- Emergency room practice
- Length of time in practice
- Location of practice
- Implementation of risk management practices
- Staff size and training
- Continuing education
- Board Certification

The most basic factor affecting availability for an individual seeking medical malpractice coverage is whether they meet the underwriting criteria of the insurer. Some underwriting concerns include:

- Professional sanctions
- Nursing home affiliation
- Willingness to implement risk management procedures
- Type of claims severity and certainty of negligent conduct

#### **FINDINGS**

Six filings affecting the various lines comprising medical malpractice insurance were made with the Arkansas Insurance Department during this past reporting period:

- o Two were for existing companies actively seeking new business; and
- o Four were new programs or initial offerings in Arkansas.

Each filing is subject to the normal rate review for excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory levels, as well as the other statutory requirements set forth in Ark Code Ann. §§ 23-67-201 *et seq.* and §§23-67-501 *et seq.* Filings that trigger concerns about excessive or inadequate rates or that contain significant increases are referred to an actuary. While the companies provide actuarial justification as part of the filing, the Department's actuary may require additional supporting documentation as a part of his review.

Impact statements regarding the affect of Act 649 of 2003 are filed pursuant to Bulletin 2-2003 promulgated as a result of the passage of the Act, which dealt with certain procedural and substantive issues in the state's tort system.

Arkansas still has a limited number of companies actually writing new medical malpractice liability policies. Currently, there are 22 companies renewing existing business or seeking new

#### policyholders. They are:

The Doctors Company, an Interinsurance Exchange

First Professionals Insurance Company

Medical Protective Company

Medical Assurance Company, Inc.

Podiatry Insurance Company of America (podiatrists only)

Preferred Professional Insurance Company

State Volunteer Mutual Insurance Company

Louisiana Medical Mutual Insurance Company (LAMMICO)

**Granite State Insurance Company** 

Medicus Insurance Company

MAG Insurance Company

Arkansas Mutual Insurance Company

Greenwich Insurance Company

ProAssurance Indemnity Company, Inc.

Fortress Insurance Company

American Physicians Company

Liberty Insurance Underwriters, Inc.

State Farm Fire and Casualty Company (dentists)

Continental Casualty Company (only renewing existing business)

Continental Insurance Company (only renewing existing business)

American Casualty of Reading, PA (nurses only, only renewing existing business)

National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA (Healthcare agencies only, only renewing existing business)

Since August 1, 2010, the following rate actions have occurred:

COMPANY	EFFECTIVE DATE	OVERALL CHANGE	SPECIALTIES AFFECTED	DISPOSITION
State Volunteer Mutual Insurance Company	6/13/2011	5% Decrease	Physicians & Surgeons	Approved
Louisiana Medical Mutual Insurance Company	5/3/2011	3.7% Decrease	Physicians & Surgeons	Approved
MAG Mutual Insurance Company	3/31/2011	Initial Filing	Physicians and Surgeons	Approved
Liberty Insurance Underwriters, Inc.	2/21/2011	Initial Filing	Dentist	Approved
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA	1/5/2011	Initial Filing	Physicians Assistant Professional Liability	Approved
National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA	11/16/2010	New Program	Neurologist	Approved

Our review of recent rate filings indicates existing rates for the companies in question are adequate and the rate level changes do not create statutorily excessive rate levels. We did not find anything in the filings that results in unfair discrimination between similar risks. Each filing

complies with Ark. Code Ann. §§ 23-67-201 et seq. and §§ 23-67-501 et seq. at the time of filing.

The aggregate loss and defense and cost containment expense ("DCCE") ratio for Arkansas for 2010 was 34.10%. The pure loss ratio was 19.06. <sup>3</sup>

The ratios above are for the entire market and include many adjustments made by companies that are not presently writing the coverage and are not reflective of current experience. It is likely those numbers reflect the fact that pending claims are being settled, dismissed or otherwise successfully reserved. When you examine the results of only those companies writing the coverage you see different results. For this group, the aggregate loss and defense and cost containment expense ("DCCE") ratio for Arkansas for 2010 was 34.45 %. The aggregate pure loss ratio for the line was 18.23%. Compared to 2009's results, both loss measures are greatly improved.

Loss adjustment expenses and the cost of defense are still significantly higher in the medical malpractice line than in other lines of insurance. A significant portion of medical malpractice premiums is derived from the cost to investigate and defend claims (even when a claimant abandons a claim, loses in court or prevails). Due to the nature of the claim, expert witnesses are needed (which are other medical professionals) and highly specialized litigation counsel is often required. Sometimes the cost of defending a claim can equal or exceed the amount paid in judgments or settlements. Providing a defense is both an obligation of the insurance company and a benefit to the insured medical provider. The following table presents a comparison of medical malpractice loss and expense ratios of those companies actually writing medical malpractice coverages as compared to commercial liability coverage and private passenger auto liability coverage.

YEAR	2010			2009		
Line of Insurance	Medical Malpractice	Commercial Multi Peril (Liability Portion)	Private Passenger Auto Liability	Medical Malpractice	Commercial Multi Peril (Liability Portion)	Private Passenger Auto Liability
Pure Loss Ratio	19.06%	61.92%	64.35%	35.66%	38.20%	61.07%
DCCE Ratio	15.04%	11.34%	2.19%	24.07%	18.02%	2.18%
Pure plus DCCE	34.10%	73.26%	66.54%	59.73%	56.22%	63.25%

YEAR	2008			2007			
Line of Insurance	Medical Malpractice	Commercial Multi Peril (Liability Portion)	Private Passenger Auto Liability	Medical Malpractice	Commercial Multi Peril (Liability Portion)	Private Passenger Auto Liability	
Pure Loss Ratio	44.6%	57.7%	62.5%	42.99%	32.84%	61.75%	
DCCE Ratio	22.1%	3.1%	3%	33.42%	17.31%	2.05%	
Pure plus DCCE	66.7%	60.8%	65.5%	76.41%	50.15%	63.80%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> All loss results are those paid during 2010.

-

#### **CONCLUSION**

Since the passage of Acts 1007 and 649 of 2003, the number of filings for companies actively writing insurance in the medical malpractice market has slowed. New offerings continue to become available and the loss experience is less than other liability lines. Still, when you consider selling and operating expenses of the writing companies, the combined ratio for those active companies have likely fallen below 100% rendering the market profitable for the first time in a decade.

Loss ratios for those companies actively writing new business remain high when compared to other liability lines, even with 2009's results. Due to the specialized nature of litigation in this area, claims investigation, adjustment and defense costs are, on average, substantially higher than for other liability lines. The effects of Act 649 of 2003 may be encouraging new entries into the market. The impact statements of existing writers still express a very conservative approach to the Act's long-term effect.

Repeal of all or a portion of Act 649 of 2003 in a future legislative session would make Arkansas less attractive to those remaining companies providing medical malpractice coverage to Arkansas's medical community. Arkansas is beginning to see more interest by insurers in the market.

Submitted: August 15, 2011

cc: The Honorable Mike Beebe, C/O James Miller, Regulatory Liaison David Ferguson, Director, Bureau of Legislative Research Carol Stapleton, Legislative Council Liaison, Bureau of Legislative Research Lenita Blasingame, Insurance Chief Deputy Commissioner